



GENOVATE

Transforming Organisational Culture for
Gender Equality in Research and Innovation

Work Package 8: Dissemination and Sustainability Strategy

Deliverable 8.5

Gender Equality Delivery Guides for Policy Making in Higher Education Institutions.



GENOVATE has received
funding from the European
Union Seventh Framework
under Science in Society
SiS 2012 2.1.1-1
programme – grant
agreement no. 321378



Project acronym:	GENOVATE
Project full title:	Transforming organisational culture for gender equality in research and innovation
Grant number:	321378
Contract type:	Coordination and Support Action: Supporting (CSA-SA)
Work programme topics addressed:	SIS.2012.2.1.1-1 Ensuring equal opportunities for Women and men by encouraging a more gender-aware management in research and scientific decision-making bodies.
GENOVATE Co-ordinator:	Uduak Archibong
EU Officer:	Nina Baumeister
Website:	www.genovate.eu
Duration	January 2013 to December 2016

Deliverable 8.5: Gender Equality Delivery Guidelines for Policy Making in Higher Education Institutions.

Delivered by:	UNIBRAD and Consortium
Nature:	Report
Dissemination level:	Public
Planned:	M45
Actual:	6 th September 2016

This document contains information, which is proprietary to the GENOVATE consortium. Neither this document nor the information contained herein shall be used, duplicated or communicated by any means to any third party, in whole or in parts, except with prior consent of the GENOVATE Coordinator.

The information in this document is provided as is and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The user thereof uses the information at its sole risk and liability.

Table of Contents

Background	4
Scope.....	4
What is the GENOVATE Model?.....	4
How does the GENOVATE Model work?.....	5
Historical Contextualisation of Gender Equality as (Inter)National Standard	5
Who is the Gender Equality Delivery Guide for?	7
1. Identify Vulnerable Areas for Action and Those that Might Shelter Discriminatory Practices.	8
Gender Climate Assessment (GCAs).....	9
Equality Impact Assessment [EIA]	10
Equality Monitoring.....	10
GENOVATE Cafés:	10
2. Address the Gendered Character of Organisations and Action Planning.	10
Gender Equality Action Plans (GEAPs).....	10
Support to Staff Career Progression, Safety, and Overall Wellbeing.....	11
Mentoring Programmes	11
Career Development Programme (CDP)	12
Work/Life Balance, Health and Overall Wellbeing.....	12
Sexual Harassment and Gender-Based Violence against Women	12
Institutional Support and Structural Changes	12
Gender budgeting.....	12
Structural Change Initiatives	12
Learning Partnerships.....	13
Gender Equality Change Academy Teams (GeCATs)	13
3. Support Institutions to Make Significant Change: Monitoring, Evaluation and Sharing.....	13
Collaborative Evaluation.....	14
Learning Circles.....	14
Guided Reflections	14
4. Useful Tools and Resources.....	14
Contextualised Guidelines.....	14
E-Learning Package.....	14
Gender and Diversity Toolkit	15
Report on Institutional Cases	15
Guiding Principles for Excellence in Research Standards.....	15
5. Recommendations for Implementation.	15
6. Conclusions.....	16
7. References.....	17

Background

GENOVATE is an action-research project that aims to ensure equal opportunities for women and men by encouraging a more gender-competent management in research, innovation and scientific decision-making bodies, with a particular focus on universities. The project is based on the implementation of Gender Equality Action Plans (GEAPs) in six European universities and brings together a consortium with diverse experience in gender mainstreaming approaches. All consortium partners come from different disciplinary backgrounds and have different national context. However, each of the institutions shares common challenges for gender equality in research and innovation, and all have identified three common areas for intervention:

- Recruitment, progression and research support
- Working environment, work-life balance and institutional culture
- Gender and diversity dimensions of research excellence and innovation

Scope

Deliverable 8.5. provides a useful set of guidelines for Human Resources (HR) managers and policy making professionals, institutional units, and departments in Higher Education (HE) to embed, and effectively mainstream gender equality into institutional policy frameworks. Accordingly, the Gender Equality Delivery Guide represents a valuable, research action-based “roadmap” that will offer support on gender competent policy-making, implementation, monitoring and deep organisational change in line with international, regional and domestic legislation; and institutional and context-specific gender equality and diversity backgrounds.

The guidelines stem from the overall GENOVATE project, and translate the mainstays of the GENOVATE Model into the more action-oriented, result-driven, and hands-on dynamics of the human resources field in the context of promoting gender competent research and innovation.

What is the GENOVATE Model?

[The GENOVATE Model](#) is a framework for developing and embedding gender equality principles, values, and legislation in higher education organisations. The GENOVATE model offers guidance, tools and support in the implementation of Gender Equality Action Plans; and gender mainstreaming mechanisms within research institutions including Higher Education.

The GENOVATE model acknowledges the changing and ever evolving character of higher education institutions through people's everyday actions and interactions. As highly complex social systems, higher education organisations reflect broader society, and therefore are exposed to, and regulated by local ideological, legal, and political structures and reference frameworks. Considering the existing legislation, and subsequent national and international obligations to comply with gender equality standards, the GENOVATE model aims to:

- Facilitate this process of legal compliance with the final aim of realising social and gender justice goals in the work place, and developing a social model of gender equality that is local and context-specific.
- Make a key contribution to a holistic understanding of the gendered character of higher education institutions;
- Identify and critically assess the existence and impact of gender inequality in HE organisations.
- Map out the foundations, steps and developments of a gender sensitive policy implementation strategy that effectively addresses both the potential barriers, and innovative responses, that may arise in this process.

- Implement strategies for the transformation of organisational structures towards more gender competent management.
- Support institutions to make significant change: changing the way that organisations work and people's mind-sets, especially those higher in management.

How does the GENOVATE Model work?

The GENOVATE Model rests on and constitutes a step-by-step process that tackles different phases in the process of gender equality mainstreaming and organisational change in higher education; as well as offering an accurate analysis of how to develop them efficiently.

The GENOVATE Model encompasses a number of five inter-related, mutually influential and overlapping phases, which aim to facilitate and develop a gender sensitive and gender competent approach to human resources policy-making.

Historical Contextualisation of Gender Equality as (Inter)National Standard.

Nowadays equality between women and men stands as a well-recognised principle in international law, which is currently embedded and enshrined in international and regional conventions. However, having gender equality on the international political agenda is a relatively recent reality, and originally results from women's local and transnational organising efforts to render gender discrimination visible, denounce political and *de facto* inequality between men and women, and denounced the invisibility of men's socio-political and economic privilege under the shade of seemingly egalitarian policies and social practices¹. In this respect, international and regional treaties on women's rights, which then have become important and powerful legal instruments to conceptualise and fulfil gender equality more broadly², are seminal to add a gender specific and situated knowledge; insufficiently teased out by other existing covenants. This international legal framework supports and guarantees gender equality in all aspects of men's and women's lives, including the right to work, which involves equal opportunities, and equal access to them; equal remuneration for equal work; entitlement to decent work, right to assembly and form trade unions, and non-discrimination of men and women in employment, among others³. Likewise, the International Labour Organisation has strived to ensure decent work for men and women alike ([ILO's Decent Work Agenda](#)), making sure that principles and practices of "gender equality cut[s] across the ILO's Decent Work Agenda and provides a framework for promoting equality of opportunity and treatment in the world of work." (ILO 2009: 17).

¹ The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), along with the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966), and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966) all uphold the principle of non-discrimination on the basis of sex, race, ethnicity, faith etc. However, they did not mention gender equality as a human right as they did not incorporate a gender lens in their body of law; they fail to unfold how "discrimination on the basis of sex" impacted men and women differently; and the universal bearer of rights was conceptualised on male terms. In addition, regional legislation such as the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) powerfully declares that the enjoyments of human rights and freedoms enshrined in the Convention "shall be secured without discrimination on any ground such as sex, race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, association with a national minority, property, birth or other status" (ECHR 2010: 12), which is in dialogue with other treaties that also enshrine women's right to work, to a family, and to their full development as human beings. Articles 1-6, 8, 27, and 29 of the European Social Charter specifically address the right to work. Although these legal documents vary in their legally binding nature to States,

² A good example of this is the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (1979), as well as subsequent CEDAW Recommendations.

³ See the UN Declaration on Human Rights (1948); the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966); and the UN International Covenant on Economic, Social and Economic Rights (ICESCR 1966)³, with its important General Comment 16 on Article 3: The Equal Right of Men and Women to The Enjoyment of All Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.

However, although this rich body of legally binding international legislation has been developed to respect, protect, and fulfil women's rights in face of gender inequality and discrimination; and to guarantee the equal treatment of women in all spheres of life, there are still multiple instances of how that legislation framework has not paralleled structural reform, and had not by itself reduced gendered economic inequality by and large. For example, according to ILO (2016)

The global gender gaps in labour market participation and pay have stagnated at high levels: female labour force participation is 49.6% compared to 76.1% for men; and women earn just 77% of what men do [ILO 2016a]. Moreover, women are concentrated in low quality work, with poor conditions and inadequate maternity, healthcare and retirement protection [ILO 2016a, 2015]. Sexism in labour markets constrains women's rights and hinders productivity and inclusive growth (...) [and] women are underrepresented in decision-making processes, making it difficult to get redress and protection.

The ILO, in line with the [2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development](#), and together with UN Women, and other international organisations working to implement gender equality and non-discrimination obligations worldwide, emphasise the importance of evaluating all policies, programmes and practices from a gender sensitive lens. This gender sensitive analysis will shed light on a) these policies' differentiated impacts on men and women, and b) how to make them gender balanced and egalitarian. Indeed, The Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action (1995) establishes gender mainstreaming as the main channel for realising gender equality holistically and comprehensively, which combined with gender-specific measures, and gender sensitive policies aim to bridge the "theory-reality" gap; and the "principle-policy-outcome" one, which is fundamental to perform deep structural social change. As the ILO points out

Developing gender responsive policy requires more than ensuring women are included in the text of policy documents or that disaggregated data is used, though these are important elements. It requires a rigorous analysis of existing structural inequalities such as women's reproductive roles and time burdens, limited access to productive resources and employment opportunities and other forms of direct and indirect discrimination (2009)

Women's presence and voices in decision-making are fundamental for enacting that structural change, together with an understanding that women's underrepresentation and experience of gender inequality also stems from their absence at negotiation venues; a reality that has been progressively -though unevenly- changing worldwide over the last forty years. Women's absence from policy-making processes, leadership positions, and political representation also rings true of HE organisations, and particularly in the field of research and innovation. For example, an examination of the roles, achievements and career paths of female academic researchers highlights a persistence of career patterns and outcomes that differ from their male counterparts (ETAN, 2000; European Commission, 2015, 2009; Expert Group on Structural Change, 2011). In effect, women tend to be ensnared at critical career progression points, being overrepresented in junior academic positions, and applying less for promotion. On the contrary, they remain underrepresented as principal investigators in research projects, most likely remaining as team members; and will be poorly represented in decision-making roles at all levels of the research enterprise (see Bagilhole and White, 2011; Bailyn, 2003; Doherty and Manfredi, 2010; Özkanlı et al, 2009; O'Connor, 2011). In addition, issues of different time availability for women and men; gender health issue; desire for work/life balance; maternity and paternity leave; systemic and naturalised gender discrimination; gender stereotyping; and deficient or even inexistent policies that tackle sexual harassment, are but a few of the multiple problems that are usually absent in existing institutional policies. Whenever present, they are approached from a gender-neutral perspective that a) obscures the causes of these practices, b) renders gender inequality and discrimination invisible and therefore perpetuates them; and finally, function at micro and macro organisational levels to prevent women from realising their full potential in the work place, and keep HE organisations as niches of gender inequality.

Who is the Gender Equality Delivery Guide for?

The Gender Equality Delivery Guide is mostly addressed to stakeholders with clear competences in organisational policy making, and who can effect change in terms of advancing gender equality standards in HEI organisations.

In this respect, we acknowledge that gender equality is a social and organisational project in which multiple stakeholders collaborate, take responsibility, and to which they commit themselves. As pointed out in other GENOVATE deliverables (e.g. 3.1, 4.1, 5.1), engaging senior management and leadership; together with members of staff at all organisational levels, is seminal to bring organisational change into being.

Therefore, depending on the nature and dynamics of each HE institution, which respond to geopolitical, cultural, and context-specific particularities, HE organisational policy making processes might be centralised in HR departments, or they might be diffused among multiple stakeholders that play specific roles in policy development and implementation. Although no single policy stakeholder bears the sole responsibility of developing and implementing a gender sensitive organisational transformation, as gender equality is a transversal issue; they do have a key role in being a centralised and centralising force in driving it forward. Accordingly, the GENOVATE Gender Equality Delivery Guide targets

- Human Resources Departments
- Research Managers (e.g. EARMA, Research and Knowledge Transfer Managers)
- Equality and Diversity Units
- Senior Managers (when applicable, and depending on the organisational nature of each institution, e.g. Deans of Diversity; Equality, Diversity and Academic Policy Vice-Chancellors; PVD Gender Equality)
- Institutional Legal Teams.

These groups of professionals are key players and important actors with responsibilities in the realm of gender equality policy-making; with a seminal role in

- Supporting institutions and its staff to unfold and realise its full potential through compliance with equality, diversity and human rights legislation.
- Contributing to broader economic, political and legislative social projects in which gender equality is a reality and not an ideal.
- Directing, facilitating, and advancing gender equality by changing organisational cultures and institutional structures.

The implementation of the guidelines contained in this Guide will have a positive impact on existing and potential staff who engage with the institution at different stages of the employment cycle.

1. For existing members of staff, who will:

- Feel supported by their organisation.
- Grow and realise their potential and expertise within the organisation through fair, equal and respectful career cycles at attraction, recruitment, probation/progression, promotion.
- Perform better and more efficiently in their employment.
- Establish a stronger loyalty bond with the organisation, which influences their retention strategy.

2. For potential staff, who will feel more prone to apply for work in

- An institution with solid foundations on *de-facto* gender equality and diversity values and policies.
- A truly and effectively equal and diverse institution in which they will be represented and supported.

These GENOVATE guidelines for delivering gender equality in HE institutions will contribute to engage and support gender equality policy making stakeholders in

- Meeting and fully realising gender equality national and international standards; effective gender sensitive and diversity management; and gender equality organisational benchmarks
- Developing an efficient strategy for ensuring sustainable gender equality practices and culture in HE organisations.
- Identifying vulnerable areas for action and those that are sensitive to "house" discriminatory practices, and unconscious bias.
- Addressing the gendered character of organisations and employment cycles.
- Mainstreaming gender in institutional policy.

1. Identify Vulnerable Areas for Action and Those that Might Shelter Discriminatory Practices.

Some traditional areas in which gender discrimination has taken place, and still does, in HE organisations are

- Recruitment/promotion
- Women's career progression
- Work/life balance
- Maternity, paternity and parental leave
- Men's health
- Gender pay gap
- Institutional resource allocation according to gender and academic positions.
- Women's (under)representation and visibility in decision-making processes and leadership roles.
- Women's access to and presence in male-dominated disciplines.

In order to change the gender character and practices of HE organisations, there is a need to understand that those organisations are already "gendered" in the first place, and that they are so inequitable, unbalanced, unrepresentative, exclusive, and hierarchical in their structure, constitution and activities⁴. Therefore, it is important to first and foremost:

- Understand "gender" as a basic and essential component of organisations, not as an extra element in policy-making that is factored into already existing policies (Connell 1995) . Therefore gender issues and a gender sensitive approach should inform HEI organisational policies, practices, and the discipline throughout.
- Recognise "gender" as an organising principle of society and people's lives and identities, which defines and shapes their social, professional, and personal spheres and roles as citizens, workers, fathers, mothers, friends, daughters, brothers, etc.

⁴ "Organizational structure is not gender neutral; on the contrary, assumptions about gender underlie the documents and contracts used to construct organizations and to provide the common sense ground for theorizing about them. Their gendered nature is partly masked through obscuring the embodied nature of work. Abstract jobs and hierarchies, common concepts in organizational thinking assume a disembodied and universal worker. This worker is actually a man; men's bodies, sexuality, and relationships to procreation and aid work are subsumed in the image of the worker. Images of men's bodies and masculinity pervade organizational processes, marginalizing women and contributing to the maintenance of gender segregation in organizations." (Acker 1990: 139)

- Appreciate the inclusivity and complexity of “gender” both as a concept and as a practice, which should never be understood as referring to “women only”. Framing gender issues within the realms of women’s rights exclusively would curtail from the start the transformative potential and progressive power of gender equality policies.
- “Gender” issues are relationally defined: they emerge and are constructed through, and in relation to the interactions of men, women, and people who embrace other forms of gender identities and expressions; together with other identity-shaping elements such as race, class, ethnicity, sexual orientation, religion, age, etc. Therefore, organisational measures and policies must be sensitive and responsive to this gender diversity.

Bearing this in mind, policy revision should usually take place as soon as HE institutions become aware that

- Their current organisation does not comply with gender equality legislation;
- There are disciplines, areas, and existing policies that reproduce and therefore perpetuate unconscious bias and discriminatory practices; and
- There is a **felt need for change** by individuals (staff members) and institutions that requires an institutional debate and response to that overall changing perception of gender issues.

These opportunities for advancing gender equality principles and values are important "openings" for policy intervention, which channels changes in organisational gender cultures and practices. In so doing, once these openings emerge and are identified, the following mechanisms can be used to carrying out a context-specific, timely and transparent examination of the gender and equality realities of a particular HE institution:

Gender Climate Assessment (GCAs)

Gender climate assessments help determine the degree of institutional gender equality compliance, as well as the organisational sensitivity towards gender equality issues. Therefore, GCAs will allow HEI policy makers to

- Assess their own institutional gender sensitive culture; examine how policy making stakeholders within the organisation approach "gender issues"; and to establish whether these are perceived as a core element at the heart of their activities or an "extra" that needs to be factored in to respond to individual cases.
- Identify
 - ✓ Gaps, needs and areas for action and support.
 - ✓ Key organizational issues and individual factors.
 - ✓ Organisational gender (under) representation (i.e. map the gender distribution of staff at different academic and management and key decision making positions).
 - ✓ Gender specific needs (i.e. needs arising from women’s and men's reproductive social roles, their impact on time management and time distribution; and work/life balance issues).
- Tackle strategic areas which are significantly gender unbalanced and which are seminal in eradicating institutional gender gaps: i.e. access to institutional resources and decision-making spaces and positions.
- Develop a critical evaluation of the working environment through gender disaggregated evidence based data.
- Review existing institutional policies, regulations, and practices to assess the level of gender awareness, and existing level of bias.
- Render visible and disseminate taken actions and/or steps to develop gender equality policies.

Equality Impact Assessment [EIA]

This is a fundamental instrument to determine the impact on relevant equalities groups of policies, practice, functions, procedures, criteria, service delivery and policy decisions. It is also an assessment to see if that impact is adverse or not. For example, in the specific case of Human Resources, EIA could be used to assess processes and policies related to applications for jobs or promotions.

Equality Monitoring

A process used to collect, store and analyse data about personal details (for example race, age, disability, gender, sexual orientation, religion and belief) that can be used to:

- Highlight possible inequalities;
- Identify any barriers to achievements or progress faced by different individuals;
- Investigate the underlying causes of those inequalities;
- Seek to redress any unfairness or disadvantage; and
- Promote equality of opportunity.

GENOVATE Cafés:

These informal stakeholder meetings offer opportunities and safe open spaces for group discussion and engagement in a more casual and relaxed atmosphere on gender equality related issues. Considering the multifaceted and adaptable dynamics of GENOVATE Cafés, they are highly versatile “tools” that can be used at different stages in policy making processes, including the revision, development, delivery, and assessment of organisational gender equality mainstreaming strategy. Therefore, GENOVATE Cafés can be used to

- Assess existing organisational gender climates
- Deliver targeted policy interventions,
- Offer a space for collective reflection and evaluation,
- Monitor the impact and effectiveness of those revised policies,
- Open discussion for new and existing debates.

In this initial phase, the goals of GENOVATE Cafés are:

- Promoting reflections on gender equality issues.
- Experience/knowledge sharing in a relaxed atmosphere.
- Providing gender sensitive information and data to transform university gender cultures
- Develop gender-sensitive and diversity competent leadership.

2. Address the Gendered Character of Organisations and Action Planning.

After organisational gender climate and equality impact assessments have been carried out; and sensitive areas for action have been identified, policy making teams can then move onto discussing and agreeing on possible solutions, from which a plan(s) of action emerges prior to implementation.

Gender Equality Action Plans (GEAPs)

These action plans are fundamental instruments to organise policies, and intervention strategies, which constitutes the backbone of the strategy itself. Accordingly, GEAPs are flexible and adaptable strategic plans for long-term change through short, medium and long-term actions including specific targets; with a collective focus rather than on single unrelated interventions. In this light, and

complying with international, regional and national contexts and policies, Gender Equality Action Plans should be

- Efficient and actively responsive to changes (i.e. timelines, circumstances, openings for intervention); and to institutional realities and needs.
- Reflective of institutional demands regarding organisational approaches to gender equality.
- Sensitive to local and national contexts, that would influence the implementation of gender equality actions, and their feasibility.
- Flexible towards in-depth, sustainable and long-term transformations of gender realities, institutional practices and mind-sets.
- Savvy towards how, when and where different types of interventions can have most meaningful impact.
- Solid, comprehensive, feasible and transparent in content and form.
- Efficient in mainstreaming gender equality in
 - ✓ Attraction/Recruitment/Progression.
 - ✓ Working environment and culture change.
 - ✓ Knowledge exchange and dissemination.

As part of GEAPS, and in addition to those, the following programmes and measures could be developed by organisations, in particular policy units; ***within existing available resources*** and ***considering the particularities of their context***. In order to advance gender equality in organisations, and mainstreaming throughout the whole institutional structures, the measures below will

- Support members of staff throughout their careers.
- Develop organisational culture to nurture and enable that active support.
- Develop a hands-on approach to tackle gender discrimination at both systemic and individual practices and stereotyping that are arresting changes and legal compliance with gender equality obligations.

In so doing, the following actions address specific needs throughout the employment cycle, with a focus on both staff and other institutional functions.

Support to Staff Career Progression, Safety, and Overall Wellbeing

Mentoring Programmes

- Fundamental measure to promote gender equality in organisational cultures by specifically supporting and promoting women in academia.
- Tool that may contribute to create some of the conditions for transforming specific aspects of HE institutions.
- Significantly enhance women's career access and their competence in career building/development.
- Support women's integration in male-centred networks.
- Provide women researchers with role models.
- Develop female staff's identity and autonomy as professionals in their field.
- Mixed or same gender programmes/one-to-one and/or group mentoring.

Career Development Programme (CDP)

Career development programmes can be used to support academics, early career researchers, and members of staff in accessing opportunities for advancement in their careers. CDP can encompass on-boarding, individual and organization career development strategies.

Work/Life Balance, Health and Overall Wellbeing

Having a gender sensitive work/life policy and institutional approach will have a positive impact on men's and women's lives, personal development and professional achievements. Although many of the existing work/life balance policies exclusively look at protecting and ensuring implementation of maternity and paternity leave, little attention is given to examining and developing further existing parental leave schemes, as well as granting institutional support to men who would like to parent actively, and fulfil their roles as nurturers. Gender sensitive and gender equal organisations should therefore invest (money, time, resources, and energy) in neutralising gender stereotyping and stigma towards men who want to engage regularly and actively in the "caring economy". This would have a positive impact on

- Neutralising gender stereotyping and discriminatory organisational behaviours/restrictions/measures towards women based on their biological and social role as mothers.
- Enhancing job stability and progression for women, who will be less likely to lose their jobs and see their career development curtailed by the choice of motherhood.
- Men's and women's overall wellbeing: Reducing stress levels; contributing to men's better health (e.g. lower risk of heart disease); supporting women and men equally throughout their career progression; and overall, have a more self-fulfilled and content work force.

Sexual Harassment and Gender-Based Violence against Women

According to ACAS (2010: 2), harassment is an "unwanted conduct related to a relevant protected characteristic, which has the purpose or effect of violating an individual's dignity or creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment for that individual". Harassment in the work place on the grounds of gender/sexuality violates existing international legislation on the right to dignity, the right to life, the right to live a life free from violence, the right to work, and the principle of non-discrimination, among others. Therefore, it is fundamental to have specific effective zero tolerance policies on sexual harassment and gender-based violence that ensure respect, protection and fulfilment of those fundamental rights; guarantee and provide a safe, healthy and fair working environment; and promote an organisational culture of respect for men and women alike.

Institutional Support and Structural Changes

Gender budgeting

Gender-sensitive budgeting keeps gender equality at the heart of budget planning; and deals with the conception, planning, approval, execution, monitoring, analysis and audit of budgets in a gender-sensitive manner.

Structural Change Initiatives

These initiatives address institutional gender-responsiveness, and gender and diversity management in order to tackle gender inequality, and eradicate it. These measures involve:

- [Strategic management](#) (i.e. vision and strong commitment from top leadership).

- A strategic GEAP with a clear set of targets; corresponding measures; and actions tailored to the challenges of the respective institution (i.e. adequate human and financial resources for its implementation).
- Proper monitoring and accountability mechanisms for achieving these objectives.

Learning Partnerships

These are collaborative cross-sector, cross-institutional stakeholders' collaborations at individual and organisational levels that will ensure that gender mainstreaming processes are as widespread, local, participatory, inclusive and representative as possible. Learning partnerships enable:

- Collaboration among different stakeholders working for advancing gender equality
- Specialised support to HR teams throughout their process of gender mainstreaming.
- Elaboration of more efficient and inclusive policies as they reflect a wide pool of staff members, their interests and needs.
- Participatory policy-making processes, and therefore, more democratic and staff-centred policies.

Gender Equality Change Academy Teams (GeCATs)

These are staff and students teams facilitating change towards gender equality goals and objectives over a given time period. The role of GeCAT is therefore

- To support and facilitate change.
- To define timeframes collectively to work on those changes.
- To localise and prompt action towards the goals and objectives of gender mainstreaming.
- To provide feedback on barriers, opportunities and progress identified.
- To contribute to implementation of GEAP at local/disciplinary level.
- To action and acknowledge support across the university and its departments.

3. Support Institutions to Make Significant Change: Monitoring, Evaluation and Sharing.

As important as the appropriate assessments (e.g. GCAs, EIAs, EM) to then develop a solid, robust, and target-oriented strategy (GEAPs), keeping a questioning and critical attitude towards those previous processes, plan of action and measures taken is a fundamental step. Along with planning and implementation; monitoring and evaluation processes and mechanisms are key in ensuring good practice, responsive policies, and actively engaging measures that reflect, engage, and incorporate organisations' (and staff's) changing needs, realities and demands.

Therefore, analysing and interpreting the actions' success and challenges (**Collaborative Evaluation**) through the production and analysis of gender disaggregated data on the results of those interventions; together with a close examination of the whole gender mainstreaming process, are fundamental activities for an effective and healthy⁵ policy framework. Finally, sharing lessons learned from implementation actions with other partners, and creating supportive networks (**Learning Circles, Guided Reflections**) are productive aspects of the whole gender mainstreaming process, as well as powerful instruments to fully maximise its results.

⁵ By healthy policy framework we mean a number of measures and strategies that incorporate a blended bottom-up and top-down approach, which actually bridges the policy-practice-outcome gap, and which keeps establishing a dialogue and debate with organisational and multiple stakeholders.

Collaborative Evaluation

Evaluation of existing organisational gender mainstreaming strategies and processes, and the level of competence and effectiveness of institutional GEAPs is fundamental for:

- Ensuring long-term compliance with gender equality national and international policy frameworks and legislation.
- Perfecting the *response-ability* of the strategy to offer solutions and support to a range of gender equality-related issues.
- Keeping up-to-date with the changing nature of gender equality organisational climate and staff needs/demands.
- Having a reflective and responsive strategy to promote the institutional and mind-set changes experienced by the institution and broader society.

Learning Circles

Learning Circles (LCs) are learning communities that facilitate good practice sharing, collective problem-solving and general informal discussion of a given topic in a less formal environment. The LCs are important arenas and opportunities to:

- Strengthen interinstitutional connections with e.g. further education institutions, local government and private sector to standardise gender mainstreaming processes, maximise policy impact, and achieve a broader social and institutional change.
- Deepen policy making stakeholders' technical knowledge and expertise on gender equality.
- Develop strategies for stimulating active engagement to transform existing commitments to gender equality into results within the organisations.

Guided Reflections

Guided reflections are a powerful and effective process of self-enquiry, development, and learning through collective reflection that encourages organisational learning and change. Guided reflections stimulate collaboration, interaction, and self-reflection on organisational change and GEAP implementation, through a two-way dialogue that involves both, reflective practitioners or change agents; and guides or change facilitators. Guided reflections, in verbal and written formats:

- Document participants' and organisational experiences of change.
- Enable and facilitate the collection of narratives and stories on the experience of gender transformation.
- Facilitate and mediate understanding within institutions where cultural differences (national, organisational, gender mainstreaming, and scientific background) are relevant.

4. Useful Tools and Resources.

Contextualised Guidelines

Policy oriented manual that tackles gender mainstreaming and gender equality issues in research and innovation throughout different career progression stages and transition.

E-Learning Package

Interactive tool to create gender equality awareness in a cross-cultural context, encouraging current and prospective leaders to make reflections on possible solutions to strengthen gender competent management aligned to their institutional needs.

Gender and Diversity Toolkit

Dynamic toolkit to enhance integration of gender equality and diversity into different knowledge and innovation systems.

Report on Institutional Cases

Synthesis of gender mainstreaming experiences in a number of European universities covering:

- Good practices
- Challenges encountered
- Lessons learned
- Actions taken
- Sustainability issues

Guiding Principles for Excellence in Research Standards

A support tool to achieve meaningful and sustainable impact in transforming university-locus cultures and values into more gender-aware and gender competent environments; as well as increasing women's participation in agenda-setting and decision-making within the research enterprise as a whole.

5. Recommendations for Implementation.

In a nutshell, transforming gender(ed) organisational cultures towards more gender equal and gender sensitive organisations requires:

- A preliminary assessment of existing institutional policy frameworks and performance with regards to gender equality, gender discrimination, and gender organisational practices.
- Identification of the gaps/needs/areas for support.
- Development of an action plan/strategy for ensuring and mainstreaming gender equality principles at institutional level, ensuring gender sensitive, and gender balanced employment cycle. In so doing:
 - ✓ Develop specific gender-sensitive training across HE policy making units, teams and departments to ensure the widest number of staff can work on short-notice (i.e. interview panels) and meet gender equality standards throughout the employment cycle.
 - ✓ Carry out Equal Pay Audits to eradicate the gender pay-gap, ensuring compliance with international and country standards on equal remuneration for work of equal value.
 - ✓ Use gender disaggregated data; and equality impact assessment to monitor gender-sensitive policy developments, implementation and evaluation.
 - ✓ Make gender sensitive monitoring/communication channels as transparent, accessible, and collective as possible.
 - ✓ Ensure confidentiality of the information, and respect the right to privacy.
 - ✓ Show involvement and commitment of senior management and leadership, and work closely with GECATs to ensure dissemination, bottom-up participation, compliance, and support throughout the institutional tissue.

- ✓ Request the existence of gender equality expert teams in the organisation, and whenever needed in HR departments, to support processes of gender mainstreaming.
- Implementation of GEAP and effective/efficient gender mainstreaming across human resources policies and action plans will ensure tangible and significant advances in developing and strengthening more gender competent, gender balanced and gender equal institutional frameworks, policies and practices.
- Have a thorough, comprehensive and effective policy framework on sexual harassment and gender-based violence in the workplace that
 - ✓ Is gender competent.
 - ✓ Avoids re-traumatising and further victimising those exposed to these unlawful and harmful practices.
 - ✓ Offers support to the victims.
 - ✓ Has disciplinary mechanisms in place to ensure your institution is and remains a sexual harassment and Gender Based Violence free organisation.

And Remember...

- Gender is not an add-on on your policies; it is an organising principle of society, men's and women's identities; and human and organisational relations. **Make it a structuring principle of your analysis.**
- Gender equality is an **inclusive** and a **collective project** that benefits both, men and women. Therefore, highlight the social justice and legally responsive character of your policies; make your policies wide-ranging and work to
 - ✓ Involve men in this collective project;
 - ✓ Raise awareness and address men's needs and issues resulting from systemic gender inequalities (i.e. paternity leave; work/life balance; poor health and health risk-related problems); and
 - ✓ Change in discourse and practice existing male oriented and normalised understandings of excellence, good, management, success, commitment, leadership etc.
- Work on establishing **minimum requirements** to meet your organisational obligation to ensure gender equality in the workplace (see ILO's documents on the Reference section of these guidelines for further advice and detail).
- Be **context-specific**, acknowledge the **limitations** and **potential** of your institution to envisage and perform change.
- Work collectively to establish your **aspirational goals** and your **immediate goals**, with the view of making your work **sustainable, efficient, and responsive** to changes in terms of gender equality.
- Work within your **existing available resources** to start small but always with the intention of enhancing those resources.

6. Conclusions.

GENOVATE Gender Equality Delivery Guide for organisational policy-making managers, units, departments and professionals offers support; and a step-by-step methodology to mainstreaming gender competent approach into organisations and their policies. Targeted at a diverse group of

stakeholders with clear competences and active responsibilities in policy making at institutional levels, the GENOVATE Gender Equality Delivery Guide will assist in effecting a transformation of gendered organisational cultures that do not abide by existing gender equality international standards. Not only will GENOVATE guidelines deliver tools and advice on implementing change at organisational level but they will also offer an institutional framework to rethink policy making practices and working ethos. As a result, organisational policy makers will better

- Respond to the context-specific features, needs, demands, and realities of policy-making departments and policies in each institution.
- Develop a realistic, adaptable, and feasible gender delivery action plan that considers the particular structures, systems and cultures that shape each pertinent department.
- Is sensitive to the internal functioning and organisation of each department, including staff numbers, responsibilities, expectations, and budgetary limitations/possibilities.
- Encourage, establish and strengthen interdisciplinary collaboration among multiple stakeholders.
- Embrace connections and collaborations between top-down and bottom-up stakeholders, actions and approaches.
- Create supportive interdepartmental environments for conversation, discussion, updating and learning on gender equality.
- Advise on strategy development to advance and perform effective organisational and sustainable gender equality practices.

7. References.

- ACAS. 2010. *Bullying and Harassment at Work*.
http://www.acas.org.uk/media/pdf/l/r/Bullying_and_harassment_employer_2010-accessible-version-July-2011.pdf
- Acker, Joan. 2006. "Inequality Regimes: Gender, Class, and Race in Organizations". *Gender and Society*, 20.4: 441-64.
- . 1992. "Gendering Organizational Theory". Shafritz, Jay et al. Ed. 2016. *Classics of Organizational Theory*. Boston (MA): Cengage Learning. 420-8.
- . 1990. "Hierarchies, Jobs, Bodies: A Theory of Gendered Organizations" *Gender and Society*, 4.2: 139-58.
- Bagilhole, Barbara and Kate White. 2011. *Gender, Power and Management: A Cross-Cultural Analysis of Higher Education*. Houndmills, Basingstoke (UK): Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bailyn, Lotte. 2003. 'Academic Careers and Gender Equity: Lessons Learned from MIT'. *Gender Work and Organization*, 10.2: 137-153.
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. 2005. *General Comment No. 16, Article 3: The Equal Right of Men and Women to the Enjoyment of Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, 34th Session. UN Doc. E/C. 12/2005/3. Accessed 29 July 2016.
- Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women. 1992. *General Recommendation No.19 on Violence against Women*.
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations/recomm.htm#recom19>. Accessed 29 July 2016.
- . 1989. *General Recommendation No.13 on Equal Remuneration for Work of Equal Value*.
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/recommendations/recomm.htm#recom12>. Accessed 29 July 2016.
- Connell, Raewyn. 2011. *Confronting Equality: Gender, Knowledge and global Change*. Cambridge (UK) and Malden (MA): Polity.
- . 2005. "Change among the Gatekeepers: Men, Masculinities, and Gender Equality in the Global Arena." *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 30.3: 1801-25.
- . 1995. *Masculinities*. Berkley-Los Angeles (CA): U of California P

- Council of Europe. 2010. *European Convention on Human Rights, as Amended by Protocols Nos. 11 and 14; and Supplemented by Protocols Nos. 1, 4, 6, 7, 12 and 13*.
http://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Convention_ENG.pdf Accessed 28 July 2016.
- . 1996. *European Social Charter*. <https://www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/-/conventions/treaty/163>. Accessed 28 July 2016.
- Doherty, Liz and Simonetta Manfredi. 2010. "Improving Women's Representation in Senior Positions in Universities". *Employee Relations*, 32.2: 138-155.
- ETAN Expert Working Group. 2000. *Promoting Excellence through Mainstreaming Gender Equality*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications for the European Communities.
ftp://ftp.cordis.europa.eu/pub/improving/docs/g_wo_etan_en_200101.pdf
- European Commission. 2015. *Report on Equality between Women and Men*. European Commission: Belgium.
http://ec.europa.eu/justice/genderequality/files/annual_reports/2016_annual_report_2015_web_en.pdf. Accessed 21 June 2016.
- . 2009. *She Figures 2009: Statistics and Indicators on Gender Equality in Science*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
http://ec.europa.eu/research/sciencesociety/document_library/pdf_06/she_figures_2009_en.pdf. Accessed 21 June 2016.
- Expert Group on Structural Change. 2011. *Structural Change in Research Institutions: Enhancing Excellence, Gender Equality and Efficiency in Research and Innovation*. Luxembourg; Publications Office of the European Union.
- International Labour Organisation. 2016a. *Women at Work. Trends 2016*.
http://www.ilo.org/gender/Informationresources/Publications/WCMS_457086/lang-en/index.htm. Accessed 25 July 2016.
- . 2016b. *ILO Policy Brief: The ILO and Gender Equality*.
<https://glosssheffield.wordpress.com/2016/04/29/policy-brief-the-ilo-and-gender-equality/>
Accessed 20 July 2016.
- . 2015a. *Women and the Future of Work. Beijing+20 and Beyond*.
http://www.ilo.org/gender/Informationresources/Publications/WCMS_348087/lang-en/index.html. Accessed 26 July 2016.
- . 2015b. *Pay Equity – A Key Driver of Gender Equality*.
http://www.ilo.org/gender/Informationresources/Publications/WCMS_410196/lang-en/index.htm. Accessed 26 July 2016.
- O'Connor, Pat. 2011. "Where Do Women Fit in University Senior Management? An Analytical Typology of Cross National Organisational Cultures" B. Bagilhole and K. White, Ed. *Gender, Power and Management: A Cross Cultural Analysis of Higher Education*. Houndmills, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Özkanlı, Özlem et al. 2009. 'Gender and Management in HEIs: Changing Organisational and Management structures'. *Tertiary Education and Management*, 15.3: 241-257.
- United Nations. 1995. *Report of the Fourth World Conference on Women*, UN Doc A/CONF. 177/20. Accessed 28 July 2016.
- . 1979. *Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women*.
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CEDAW.aspx> Accessed 28 July 2016.
- . 1966. *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*,.
<http://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx> Accessed 28 July 2016.
- . 1966. *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*, 1966.
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CESCR.aspx>. Accessed 22 July 2016.
- . 1948. *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. <http://www.un.org/Overview/rights.html>. Accessed 28 July 2016.
- UN Fourth World Conference on Women. 1995. *Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action*.
<http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/beijing/pdf/BDPfA%20E.pdf>. Accessed 27 July 2016.